- NEIDLE, S., TAYLOR, G., SANDERSON, M., SHIEH, H.-S. & BERMAN, H. M. (1978). Nucleic Acids Res. 2, 4417–4422.
- PULLMAN, B. & SARAN, A. (1976). Prog. Nucleic Acid Res. Mol. Biol. 18, 215–323.
- RICH, A., DAVIES, D. R., CRICK, F. H. C. & WATSON, J. D. (1961). J. Mol. Biol. 3, 71–86.
- SAENGER, W. & SUCK, D. (1973). Nature (London), 242, 610–612.
- SEEMAN, N. C., DAY, R. O. & RICH, A. (1975). Nature (London), 253, 324–326.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX. A system of crystallographic programs. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- STOUT, G. H. & JENSEN, L. H. (1968). X-ray Structure Determination, pp. 456–458. New York: Macmillan.
- SUBRAMANIAN, E., MADDEN, J. J. & BUGG, C. E. (1973). Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 50, 691–696.
- SUCK, D., MANOR, P. C. & SAENGER, W. (1976). Acta Cryst. B32, 1727-1737.

- SUCK, D., SAENGER, W. & VORBRÜGGEN, H. (1972). Nature (London), 235, 333-334.
- SUSSMAN, J. L., SEEMAN, N. C., KIM, S.-H. & BERMAN, H. M. (1972). J. Mol. Biol. 66, 403–421.
- TAVALE, S. A. & SOBELL, H. M. (1970). J. Mol. Biol. 48, 109–123.
- TSAI, C. C., JAIN, S. C. & SOBELL, H. M. (1977). J. Mol. Biol. 114, 301-315.
- Ts'o, P. O. P., KONDO, N. S., SCHWEIZER, M. P. & HOLLIS, D. P. (1969). *Biochemistry*, 8, 997–1029.
- URBANKE, C., RÖMER, R. & MAASS, G. (1973). Eur. J. Biochem. 33, 511-516.
- WANG, A. H.-J., QUIGLEY, G. J., KOLPAK, F. J., CRAWFORD, J. L., VAN BOOM, J. H., VAN DER MAREL, G. & RICH, A. (1979). *Nature (London)*, **282**, 680–686.
- YATHINDRA, N. & SUNDARALINGHAM, M. (1973). Biopolymers, 12, 297–314.
- Young, D. W., Tollin, P. & Wilson, H. R. (1974). Acta Cryst. B30, 2012–2018.

Acta Cryst. (1982), B38, 531-534

# Conformational Analysis of Synthetic Androgens. VI. Structure and Crystal Packing of $17\beta$ -Hydroxy- $7\beta$ -methyl-4,14-androstadien-3-one Monohydrate

BY WILLIAM L. DUAX AND DOUGLAS C. ROHRER

Medical Foundation of Buffalo, Inc., 73 High Street, Buffalo, New York 14203, USA

## AND ALBERT SEGALOFF

Alton Ochsner Medical Foundation, New Orleans, Louisiana 70121, USA

(Received 13 January 1981; accepted 23 July 1981)

#### Abstract

The X-ray crystal structure of  $17\beta$ -hydroxy- $7\beta$ -methyl-4,14-androstadien-3-one monohydrate was investigated to determine the influence of the  $7\beta$ -methyl substituent on the overall conformation. The steroid hydrate  $(C_{20}H_{28}O_2,H_2O)$  crystallizes in the monoclinic space group  $P2_1$  with Z = 2, a = 10.868 (6), b = 8.472 (4), c = 9.838 (4) Å,  $\beta = 98.29$  (5)°,  $\lambda = 1.5418$  Å, T = 291K,  $V = 896 \cdot 3 \text{ Å}^3$ ,  $\rho_x = 1 \cdot 18 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ .  $R = 4 \cdot 3\%$  for 1972 reflections. Subtle conformational differences between  $17\beta$ -hydroxy- $7\beta$ -methyl-4,14-androstadien-3one,  $17\beta$ -hydroxy-4,14-androstadien-3-one and  $17\beta$ hydroxy-7 $\beta$ -methyl-4,14-estradien-3-one are attributable to differences in methyl substitution. While the overall shapes of these molecules are very similar, the molecular packings in the crystals of these steroids are entirely different. In contrast to this, the crystal structure of  $17\beta$ -hydroxy- $7\beta$ -methyl-4,14-androstadien-0567-7408/82/020531-04\$01.00 3-one is isomorphous with those of the monohydrates of the most active endogenous androgens, testosterone and dihydrotestosterone. The order of hydrogen-bond lengths and their orientations are remarkably similar in these three structures. Since the hydrophobic surfaces of the molecule are significantly different, the crystal packing in these isomorphs appears to be a function of hydrate formation and the directionally specific hydrogen bonding mediated by the water molecules in the crystals.

#### Introduction

The structure determination was undertaken as part of a study of substituent influence on the conformations of modified androgenic steroids. The title compound has approximately 10% of the androgenicity of testosterone when administered parenterally in the castrate © 1982 International Union of Crystallography 532

rat or topically or parenterally in the day-old chick. In contrast the 7 $\alpha$ -methyl analog has decreased activity when administered topically but enhanced activity when administered parenterally (Segaloff, 1963). Crystallographic diffraction data were measured on a specimen crystal of dimensions  $0.25 \times 0.50 \times 0.60$ 

## Table 1. Atomic coordinates $(\times 10^4, \text{ for H} \times 10^3)$ and isotropic thermal parameters $(\text{\AA}^2 \times 10)$ for the title compound

For non-hydrogen atoms  $B_{eq} = \frac{4}{3} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} b_{ij} \mathbf{a}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j}$ .

	x	у	Z	$B_{\rm eq}/B$
C(1)	7379 (2)	6656 (2)	3379 (2)	35(1)
C(2)	8775 (2)	6584 (3)	3991 (2)	40 (1)
C(3)	9004 (1)	5363 (3)	5113 (2)	38 (1)
C(4)	8206 (2)	3977 (3)	4966 (2)	41 (1)
C(5)	7275 (1)	3764 (2)	3907 (2)	34 (1)
C(6)	6646 (2)	2193 (2)	3673 (2)	42 (1)
C(7)	5226 (1)	2235 (2)	3412 (2)	33 (1)
C(8)	4725 (1)	3536 (2)	2374 (1)	30 (1)
C(9)	5407 (1)	5136 (2)	2695 (1)	29 (1)
C(10)	6855 (1)	5043	2859 (1)	31 (1)
C(11)	4877 (2)	6392 (2)	1627 (2)	36 (1)
C(12)	3497 (2)	6678 (2)	1678 (2)	37 (1)
C(13)	2736(1)	5165 (2)	1389(1)	31 (1)
C(14)	3340 (1)	3800 (2)	2261 (1)	31 (1)
C(15)	2474(2)	2987 (3)	2795(2)	41(1)
C(10)	1175(2)	5200 (2)	2333(2)	45 (1)
C(17)	1430(2)	3290(2)	-136(2)	33(1)
C(10)	$\frac{2371}{7322}$ (2)	4711(3)	-130(2)	47(1)
C(7BM)	4780(2)	569 (2)	2948(3)	47 (1)
O(3)	9857(1)	5493(2)	6075(2)	52 (1)
O(17B)	465 (1)	5907(2)	950(1)	42(1)
O(1W)	668 (2)	9110(2)	1325 (2)	59 (1)
H(1A)	686 (2)	703 (3)	408 (2)	34 (4)
H(1B)	724 (2)	746 (4)	271 (2)	48 (5)
H(2A)	908 (2)	758 (4)	433 (3)	52 (5)
H(2B)	930 (2)	622 (3)	330 (2)	44 (5)
H(4)	849 (2)	304 (3)	561 (3)	49 (5)
H(6A)	698 (2)	139 (3)	456 (3)	47 (5)
H(6 <i>B</i> )	689 (2)	165 (4)	274 (3)	65 (7)
H(7A)	496 (2)	249 (3)	425 (2)	33 (4)
H(8 <i>B</i> )	489 (2)	316 (4)	143 (2)	49 (5)
H(9A)	516 (2)	551 (3)	358 (2)	33 (4)
H(11A)	530 (2)	744 (4)	176 (3)	50 (5)
H(11B)	498 (2)	592 (4)	89 (2)	44 (5)
H(12A)	338 (3)	709 (4)	259 (2)	53 (6)
H(12B)	308 (2)	757(4)	90 (3) 326 (2)	30 (4)
H(15)	203(2)	209(3) 352(4)	320(2) 315(3)	55 (6)
H(16R)	74(2)	293(4)	155(3)	52 (6)
H(17A)	157(2)	601(3)	263(2)	39(4)
H(18A)	342(2)	467 (4)	-37(2)	50 (6)
H(18B)	211(2)	383 (3)	-33(2)	44 (5)
H(18C)	202(2)	560 (3)	-66(2)	41 (4)
H(19A)	822 (3)	470 (5)	163 (3)	69 (7)
H(19B)	688 (3)	367 (5)	112 (4)	79 (8)
H(19C)	705 (2)	560 (4)	79 (3)	63 (6)
H(7 <i>BMA</i> )	510 (2)	-22 (4)	359 (3)	65 (7)
H(7 <i>BMB</i> )	387 (3)	55 (6)	295 (3)	87 (8)
H(7 <i>BMC</i> )	506 (3)	19 (4)	196 (3)	68 (7)
H(17O)	45 (2)	689 (4)	107 (2)	47 (5)
H(1W)	32 (2)	974 (4)	71 (2)	43 (5)
H(2W)	23 (3)	941 (6)	198 (4)	85 (8)

mm with an Enraf–Nonius CAD-4 automated diffractometer using Ni-filtered Cu Ka radiation. The lattice parameters were refined by a least-squares fit to measured  $2\theta$  values for 25 reflections in the interval  $30^{\circ} < 2\theta < 40^{\circ}$ . Integrated relative intensities for 1972 independent reflections with  $2\theta < 150^{\circ}$  were measured as  $\omega$ – $2\theta$  scans; 1920 of these reflections were measured to be observed above background ( $I > 2\sigma$ ).

The intensities were reduced to structure factor amplitudes, and phase angles sufficient for location of the nonhydrogen atoms were derived using the directmethods program MULTAN (Main, Lessinger, Woolfson, Germain & Declercq, 1977). All H atoms were located on a difference electron density map prepared at an intermediate stage in the least-squares refinement of the structural parameters. In the final cycles of full-matrix least-squares refinement, positional parameters for all the atoms, anisotropic thermal vibration parameters for the nonhydrogen atoms and isotropic thermal vibration parameters for the H atoms were varied. The quantities  $(1/\sigma_F^2)$ , where  $\sigma_F$  was as defined by Stout & Jensen (1968, p. 457, equation H14) but with an instrumental instability factor of 0.06, were used to weight the least-squares differences for the observed data; differences for data determined to be unobserved were given zero weight. The final values for the residual  $(R = \sum ||F_o| - |F_c||/\sum |F_o|)$ were 0.043 when unobserved data were omitted and 0.044 for all measured data. Final positional parameters are listed in Table 1.\* The equivalent  $B_{iso}$  for the nonhydrogen atoms were calculated as defined by equation (18) of Hamilton (1959).

### Discussion

The crystallographically observed structure of the title compound is shown in Fig. 1. The intramolecular dimensions involving the non-hydrogen atoms are given in Fig. 2. The C-H bond distances range from 0.85 to 1.12 Å and average  $1.00 \pm 0.07$  Å. A comparison of the conformation of this structure with those of  $17\beta$ -hydroxy-4,14-androstadien-3-one (Rohrer, Strong, Duax & Segaloff, 1978) and  $17\beta$ -hydroxy-7 $\beta$ -meth-yl-4,14-estradien-3-one (Duax, Rohrer & Rao, 1979) provides information on the effect of specific methyl substituents on steroid conformation.

While the intraring torsion angles of the A, C and D rings of  $17\beta$ -hydroxy-4,14-androstadien-3-one and its  $7\beta$ -methyl derivative vary only slightly, the torsion angles in the B rings differ by as much as  $12^{\circ}$ . As

<sup>\*</sup> Lists of structure factors and thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 36279 (11 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.



Fig. 1. ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) drawing of  $17\beta$ -hydroxy- $7\beta$ methyl-4,14-androstadien-3-one monohydrate. Thermal ellipsoids for non-hydrogen atoms are scaled to 60% probability and H atoms are represented as spheres equivalent to  $B = 1 \text{ Å}^2$ .

might be expected, the  $7\beta$  substituent flattens the ring at C(7) and this permits a puckering at C(10). When the distances between corresponding atoms in the C and Drings of these two structures are minimized (Fig. 3a) it becomes apparent that the changes in the B ring result in twisting of the molecule about its length. When viewed from C(10) to C(13) the A ring twists in a clockwise direction when compared to the androgen without a  $7\beta$ -methyl substituent. When the intraring angles of  $17\beta$ -hydroxy- $7\beta$ -methyl-4,14torsion and rostadien-3-one and  $17\beta$ -hydroxy- $7\beta$ -methyl-4,14estradien-3-one are compared, the B rings are found to have similar conformations and the A, C and D rings all change extensively. When the distances between corresponding atoms of the B ring of these two structures are minimized, it appears that the 19-methyl group causes a flattening of the A ring in the androstane relative to the estrane and a twist about the length of the steroid. When viewed from C(10) to C(13)the A ring of the title structure twists in a clockwise direction when compared to the estrogen having the same  $7\beta$  substituent.

In spite of the conformational similarities in the three molecules, their crystal-packing arrangements (Fig. (4a,b,c) are seen to be entirely different from one another. In contrast to this, the crystal structure 17β-hydroxy-7β-methyl-4,14-androstadien-3-one of monohydrate is nearly isomorphous with those of the monohydrates of the two most active endogenous androgens, testosterone (Busetta, Courseille, Leroy & Hospital, 1972) and dihydrotestosterone (Busetta, Courseille, Fornies-Marquina & Hospital, 1972) as illustrated in Fig. 4(a,d,e). In these nearly isomorphous structures the steroids are aligned so that there is a layer of hydrogen-bonded O atoms perpendicular to the  $a^*$  axis. In the other structures (b and c) there is no solvent in the lattice and the steroid stacking is staggered. In the three isomorphous structures the







Fig. 3. (a) Least-squares fit of corresponding atoms of the C and D rings of  $17\beta$ -hydroxy- $7\beta$ -methyl-4,14-androstadien-3-one (dark lines) and the structure without the  $7\beta$ -methyl substitution (light lines). (b) Least-squares fit of corresponding atoms of the B rings of  $17\beta$ -hydroxy- $7\beta$ -methyl-4,14-androstadien-3-one (dark lines) and the structure without the 19-methyl (light lines).

(b)

 $(a) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \cdot 83 \\ 2 \cdot 95 \\ 2 \cdot 95 \\ 2 \cdot 85 \\ 2 \cdot 85 \\ 2 \cdot 85 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \cdot 82 \\ 2 \cdot 82 \\ 2 \cdot 82 \\ 2 \cdot 82 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \cdot 82 \\ 2 \cdot 83 \\ 2 \cdot$ 

Fig. 4. Crystal packing and hydrogen bonding observed in (a) this structure (e.s.d.'s for hydrogen-bond length are 0.003 Å), (b)  $17\beta$ -hydroxy-4,14-androstadien-3-one, (c)  $17\beta$ -hydroxy-7 $\beta$ -methyl-4,14-estradien-3-one, (d)  $17\beta$ -hydroxy-5 $\alpha$ -androstan-3-one monohydrate and (e)  $17\beta$ -hydroxy-4-androsten-3-one monohydrate. Strong similarity is seen in (a), (d), and (e) despite appreciable conformational changes, while (a), (b) and (c) show little similarity in packing despite the structural similarity illustrated in Fig. 3.

water molecules link the steroids in a three-dimensional network and the order of the hydrogen-bond lengths remains the same (Table 2). The shortest hydrogen bond is that in which OH(17) is the H donor and it may be this association that is primarily responsible for the formation of the crystalline hydrate. The isomorphism is remarkable in view of the significant compositional differences in the three steroids.

Table 2. Hydrogen bonds in the crystalline hydrates of (a)  $17\beta$ -hydroxy- $7\beta$ -methyl-4,14-androstadien-3-one, (b) testosterone, and (c)  $17\beta$ -hydroxy- $5\alpha$ -androstan-3-one

H-bond lengths	(a)	(b)	(c)
$O(17) \rightarrow H_2O$	2·75 Å	2.69 Å	2.69 Å
$H_2O \rightarrow O(17)$	2·83	2.83	2.83
$H_2O \rightarrow O(3)$	2·95	2.98	3.02
H-bond orientation $C(13)-C(17)-O(17)\rightarrow H_2O$ $H_2O\rightarrow O(17)-C(17)-C(13)$ $H_2O\rightarrow O(3)-C(3)-C(2)$	87° 73 149	81° 80 140	78° 81 126

It appears that the hydrate formation and hydrogen bonding involving the water molecules is a more important factor in determining this particular isomorphism than similarity in molecular shape, which normally controls crystal packing (Kitaigorodsky, 1961).

This work was supported in part by Grant No. AM-26546 from the National Institute of Arthritis, Metabolism and Digestive Diseases. We would like to thank Miss F. E. DeJarnette, Miss Gloria Del Bel, Mrs Brenda Giacchi, Miss Phyllis Strong and Miss Melda Tugac for technical assistance. Analysis of data was expedited using the PROPHET system, a national computer resource sponsored by the NIH.

#### References

- BUSETTA, B., COURSEILLE, C., FORNIES-MARQUINA, J. M. & HOSPITAL, M. (1972). Cryst. Struct. Commun. 1, 43–47.
- BUSETTA, B., COURSEILLE, C., LEROY, F. & HOSPITAL, M. (1972). Acta Cryst. B28, 3293-3299.
- DUAX, W. L., ROHRER, D. C. & RAO, P. N. (1979). Acta Cryst. B35, 3074–3076.
- HAMILTON, W. C. (1959). Acta Cryst. 12, 609-610.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee.
- KITAIGORODSKY, A. I. (1961). Organic Crystal Chemistry. New York: Consultants Bureau.
- MAIN, P., LESSINGER, L., WOOLFSON, M. M., GERMAIN, G. & DECLERCQ, J. P. (1977). MULTAN 77. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- ROHRER, D. C., STRONG, P. D., DUAX, W. L. & SEGALOFF, A. (1978). Acta Cryst. B34, 2915–2917.
- SEGALOFF, A. (1963). Steroids, 1, 299-315.
- STOUT, G. H. & JENSEN, L. H. (1968). X-ray Structure Determination. New York: Macmillan.